

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION
FOR
A COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL FOR
MOBILE NODES IN A NETWORK
ADDRESS TRANSLATION (NAT) DOMAIN

INVENTORS:

Michael Andrews
Prakash Iyer
Changwen Liu

Prepared by

Michael Nesheiwat
Reg. No. 47,819



Intel Corporation
2111 N.E. 25th Avenue; JF3-147
Hillsboro, OR 97124
Phone: (503) 712-1940 or
(503) 684-6200
Facsimile: (503) 264-1729

Express Mail Label No.: ET616076652US

Field of the Invention

The invention generally relates to mobile communications in TCP/IP networks, and more particularly defines an agent discovery protocol for a mobile node in a NAT domain

Background

Due to popular demands for accessing the Internet, most networks support the Transmission Control Protocol / Internet Protocol (TCP/IP) suite. Presently, consumers and applications are driving the need for network infrastructure to allow continued access for mobile devices when the devices roam from one network to another, for example, from their "home" networks (i.e. networks that they usually connect to) to remote/foreign networks. Mobile IP is emerging as one technology to enable this ubiquitous and continuous connectivity. However, Mobile IP has its limitations when working with existing network infrastructures.

As illustrated in FIG. 1, a mobile node 110, such as a cell phone, is within a private address space 104 of a foreign domain. The stub router 108 performs a NAT function, specifically, it manages connections to and from multiple devices in the private address space 104 by translating private IP addresses to a limited set of routable wide area network (WAN) IP address. Also, the stub router 108 prevents conflicts across similar applications running on multiple devices by translating TCP and User Datagram Protocol (UDP) ports. The Home Agent (102) performs the role of an anchor for the mobile node 110 in the mobile node's home network.

Continuing on with Figure 1, the mobile node 110 requests and receives a non-routable IP address, which is termed a co-allocated Care of Address (CoA).

Unfortunately, Mobile IP does not work for Figure 1 scenario since the mobile node's CoA is not routable in the WAN 106. More specifically, the process of the mobile node registering with the home agent from the foreign network will subsequently result in a binding update that specifies a non-routable care-of address which breaks packet routing from the Home Agent to the mobile node.

In contrast to Figure 1 scenario, Mobile IP works for Figure 2 scenario by a gateway foreign agent (GFA) addition in the stub router that results in a NAT + GFA router. The mobile node registers one of the stub router's globally routable addresses as the CoA with the home agent. Unfortunately, Figure 2 scenario also has its own issue: The mobile node needs to discover GFA before it registers with the home agent. If the NAT domain has multiple subnets as shown in Figure 2, a foreign agent may be needed at each subnet of the NAT domain where the mobile nodes may be attached. Mobile IP deployment costs are increased and scalability is limited because of the required foreign agent deployment at each subnet of the domain.

Another possible solution is realm-specific IP (RSIP), a protocol specified by IETF, to support mobile nodes by enabling "host-NATing", for example, by allowing each host in a NAT domain to query the NAT router for NAT parameters, such as, the WAN routable IP address and translation ports and performing the translation operations on behalf of the router. However, there are at least two limitations to RSIP. First, the mobile nodes require additional logic to determine the use of non-routable or

public IP addresses based at least in part on the location of the home agent. Second, the mobile nodes will require software or firmware to support the RSIP protocol.

Detailed Description

In one embodiment, the previously discussed limiting factors, such as, utilizing foreign agents throughout the NAT domain subnets and requiring mobile nodes to analyze the address types and utilize software to enable the RSIP protocol can be overcome by utilizing an agent discovery protocol. Specifically, the agent discovery protocol filters outbound Mobile IP registration requests to determine whether the registration is for a private CoA; And if yes, it notifies the registration sender with a routable address to alter the CoA in the later requests from the registration sender. As used herein, the term "NAT" refers to any access point that alters origin and/or port values of passing network traffic.

Figure 3 is a communication protocol to support a network configuration in accordance with one embodiment. In one embodiment, the communication protocol supports the network configuration depicted in Figures 2. The mobile node depicted in Figure 2 may incorporate a variety of communication or computing devices, such as, a personal digital assistant (PDA), an Internet tablet, a laptop computer, as well as the previously described cell phone.

The communication protocol depicts a registration request 302 that contains three fields, specifically, an IP field 304, a UDP header field 306, and a registration request field 308. In one embodiment, the IP field designates the mobile node as the source of the request and the home agent as the destination of the request.

The registration request field 308 is illustrated in more detail in block 310. In one embodiment, the registration field 308 may contain a home address that specifies the mobile node's permanent or dynamically assigned home address; a home agent that

specifies the home agent's IP address; and a Care of Address field that specifies the non-routable address of the mobile node. However, the invention is not limited to the previously described fields. For example, a communication protocol may incorporate less than three fields by combining some of the fields. Alternatively, the communication protocol supports more than three fields by appending multiple registration requests.

The processing of the registration request utilizes various elements depicted in Figure 2. For example, the registration request 302 is forwarded to the NAT + GFA router of Figure 2. The NAT+GFA router analyzes the registration request 302 to determine if the CoA in the request field 308 is a non-routable address.

If the CoA is a non-routable address, the NAT + GFA router drops (discards) the request 302. In one embodiment, the NAT + GFA router transmits mobile agent unicast advertisement message(s) for the gateway foreign agent (GFA) to mobile node, which specifies a routable NAT + GFA address and allows the mobile node to use foreign agent assisted routing. One option to this embodiment is for the NAT + GFA router to also transmit an error code back to the non-routable address of the mobile node for indicating an invalid CoA.

Alternatively, in another embodiment, if the CoA is a non-routable address, then the NAT + GFA router broadcasts mobile agent advertisement message(s) that specifie(s) a routable NAT + GFA address, for the gateway foreign agent (GFA) to the subnet derived from the non-routable address of the registration request. One option to this embodiment is for the NAT + GFA router to also transmit an error code back to the subnet of the mobile node for indicating an invalid CoA.

0997097-4230
1037-45060

Otherwise, if the CoA is not a non-routable address, the NAT + GFA router forwards the registration request 302 to the home agent via the WAN and the previously discussed Mobile IP process is performed. In one aspect, the claimed subject matter offers the advantage of eliminating the need for additional mobile agents throughout the NAT domain. Likewise, another advantage of the claimed subject matter supports standard Mobile IP registration messages and standard data packet routing is unaltered. In one embodiment, no additional changes to a Mobile IP enabled mobile nodes are needed.

Continuing on with the processing in the case where the CoA contains a non-routable address, the mobile agent advertisement message has been forwarded to the mobile node. Upon receipt of the advertisement message, the mobile node creates a new registration request with a hierarchical foreign agent extension that specifies a new CoA address, which is the routable NAT + GFA router address. Subsequently, the new registration message with the NAT + GFA router address as the specified CoA address is forwarded to the router and the registration request is processed and forwarded to the home agent via the WAN.

Fig. 4 illustrates a flowchart to support a communication protocol in accordance with one embodiment. This flowchart merely illustrates one example of a method for the agent discovery protocol previously described in connection with Figure 3. Block 402 depicts a mobile node that sends a registration request to a NAT + GFA router with a CoA that has a non-routable address. In one example, the registration request in block 402 is similar to the request 302 depicted in Fig. 3. Subsequently in decision block 404, the router determines if the CoA of the registration request is a non-routable

address. If not, the case the address is routable, block 406 allows the router to process the packet. Otherwise, the case the address is non-routable, the block 408 allows the router to drop or delete the registration request.

Continuing on, block 410 allows for two options. Either the router sends a mobile agent advertisement to the mobile node OR the router broadcasts advertisement to the subnet corresponding to the non-routable address, with the option to send an error code back to the mobile node with the non-routable address in the request. Subsequently, block 412 depicts the case where the mobile node, after it receives the advertisement, may create a new registration request with a hierarchical foreign agent extension that specifies a new CoA address, which is the routable NAT + GFA router address. Also, the mobile node transmits the new request to the router. Block 414 depicts the router forwarding the new request to the home agent via the WAN. The claimed subject matter is not limited in scope to the previous flowchart. The flowchart depicts one example of implementing the agent discovery protocol and can support modifications.

Having described and illustrated the principles of the invention with reference to illustrated embodiments, it will be recognized that the illustrated embodiments can be modified in arrangement and detail without departing from such principles. For example, GFA may be in a separate box. Another example is the GFA may be in a separate box with at least 1 routable WAN IP address distinct from the routable IP address assigned to the NAT router.

And, even though the foregoing discussion has focused on particular embodiments, it is understood that other configurations are contemplated. In particular, even though expressions such as "in one embodiment," "in another embodiment," or the

